## **Kinetic Isotope Effects in the Deprotonation of Chiral Formamidines**

## Joseph S. Warmus, Mikhall A. Rodkin, Robert Barkley and A. I. Meyers\*

*Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA* 

Metallation of 6,7-dimethoxy isoquinoline formamidines show a kinetic isotope effect, in contrast to the metallation of the 6,7-dihydro system; the isotope effect for the secondary proton in the dimethoxy system is smaller than usual (2.0) while the isotope effect for tertiary proton removal is somewhat larger (~4.0), this is explained by the magnitude of p $K_{\tt a}$ on isotope effect as well as competition between the pre-complexation step and the deprotonation step.

We have previously described the metallation-alkylation of chiral and achiral formamidines to mono- and di-alkylated derivatives (D, **E)** which has led to a variety of alkaloids, amines and related systems.<sup>1,2a</sup> However, efforts to fully understand the mechanistic aspects of this process<sup>2</sup> continue and the currently accepted overall picture is shown in Scheme 1. We presently believe that the formation of complex B is the rate-determining step3 followed by a rapid deprotonation of  $H_{\alpha}$  to give C. The configuration of the alkylated product **D** has

been confirmed by experiment and rationalized from a computational analysis of the various conformers of **C** *.2b*  Further metallation of D revealed that the proton is again removed only when it is  $\alpha$ -disposed<sup>2</sup> (as in **B**) and the alkylation, leading to **E,** occurs predominantly from the a-face (X-ray determination) .2 We also determined that in the absence of the chiral auxiliary **(R\*)** the configurational stability of the lithio carbanion C deteriorates rapidly.4.5 This supports the conclusion that the configuration of anion C is the





result of thermodynamic stability, rather than a kinetically controlled conformation.6

In an earlier study3 using the deoxy dihydroisoquinolines **1**  and **2,** we transformed these into the corresponding chiral isoquinolines **4** and **5** using the chiral auxiliary **3.** The original question asked was: is there a preference for H or D removal in **4** and **5?** When a 1 : 1 mixture **of 4** and **5** was treated with *0.5*  equiv. of BunLi, *6* was expectedly obtained in 50% yield containing less than 3% deuterium (Scheme 2). The remaining **4** and **5** were recovered showing the same ratio of **D/H** as the starting material. Our conclusion, therefore, was simply that the deprotonation step in Scheme 1  $(k_2)$  was not ratedetermining. Perhaps the deaggregation of butyllithium to form the complex  $(k_1,$  Scheme 1) was the most likely rate-controlling step since it is presently difficult to find another option. The next question to be asked was: If the  $pK_a$ of the proton in **4** or *5* was decreased, would the deprotonation step become rate determining?

We have now examined the methoxy substituted systems 8 and **97** and found, in contrast to **4** and **5,** the recovered mixture **of** starting materials in the competitive metallation was enriched with deuteriated isotopomer. This now suggests that a kinetic isotope effect was indeed present. In order to obtain the value of the isotope effect (KIE), competition experiments were performed under pseudo-first-order conditions. An approximately equimolar mixture **of 8** and **9** was metallated by *n*-butyllithium (0.2 equiv.) as the limiting reagent at  $-78$  °C in tetrahydrofuran (THF); subsequent alkylation with benzyl chloride gave a mixture of **10** and **1** and recovered **8** and **9**  (Scheme 3). Because of the low conversion  $(\sim 20\%)$  we were unable to assess the D/H ratio in **10/11** from NMR or mass spectral techniques with any reasonable accuracy although there was generally a higher proportion of H than  $D(10 > 11)$ .

A more accurate D/H assessment could be obtained using mass spectrometry from the recovered **8** and 9. Furthermore, any effort to accurately assess H/D ratios in **10/11** would not be useable in the second alkylation (to **14)** since the carbon possessed no proton. Therefore, we felt secure in analysing the H/D ratios for recovered, unreacted starting materials **8,9**  and **12, 13** *(vide infra).* Furthermore, we examined the possibility of crossover experiments which would shed doubt on the observed *WD* ratios. None were found after several experiments using lithio-8 and deuterio-9.

In such competition experiments [presented in general form by eqns. (1) and (2)] the ratio of products derived from protio  $(P_H)$  and deuterio substrates  $(P_D)$  should be determined by  $[P_H]/[P_D] = k_H [RH]_0 / k_D [RD]_0$ , where  $[RH]_0$  and  $[RD]_0$  are the starting concentrations of protio and deuterio substrates. Then isotope effect (KIE) =  $k_H/k_D = [P_H][RD]_0/[P_D][RH]_0$ . As already stated, the low conversion to products (required for pseudo-first-order conditions) precluded any useful NMR assessment. However, mass spectra data gave accurate H/D ratios for methoxysubstituted formamidines 8/9 before and after the reaction.

$$
8 \text{ or } 12, \text{ RH} + \text{B} \xrightarrow{k_{\text{H}}} [\text{R}] \xrightarrow{\text{R}'\text{X}} \text{R}-\text{R}'\left(\text{P}_{\text{H}}\right) \tag{1}
$$

$$
9 \text{ or } 13, \text{RD} + \text{B} \xrightarrow{k_{\text{D}}} [\text{R}] \xrightarrow{\text{R}'\text{X}} \text{R-R}' (\text{P}_{\text{D}}) \tag{2}
$$

The expression for isotope effect (KIE) can be arranged into eqn.  $(3)$ 

$$
KIE = \frac{(C + FC - S + F)}{(S - F + CS + CSF)} \times S
$$
 (3)

where  $S = [RD]_0/[RH]_0$  (ratio of the starting materials before reaction);  $F = [\text{RD}]_f/[\text{RH}]_f$  (ratio of the starting materials after reaction);  $C = P_H + P_D/[RH]_f + [RD]_f$  (ratio of the products to the remaining starting material).

The *F* and *S* values and their uncertainties were obtained from the experimental mass spectra. $\dagger$  The C value was obtained by GC and used assuming an uncertainty of 5%.

After two runs, a kinetic isotope effect was calculated to be  $2.0 (\pm 0.4)$ . The smaller than expected isotope effect noted in the metallation of **8** and **9** prompted us to further examine the magnitude of this effect in the more energy demanding tertiary proton removal. Once again, an approximately equimolar mixture of protio and deuterio formamidines **12** and **13** was treated (THF,  $-78^{\circ}$ C) with a deficiency (0.23 equiv.) of tert-butyllithium (Scheme 4). Neither BunLi or BusLi were sufficiently strong bases to remove the tertiary proton **(or** D) in 12 or 13. Since both formamidines gave 14  $(i.e.$   $P_H$  and  $P_D$ products are identical), the calculation of isotope effect was based again on the initial and final ratio of **12** and **13** (eqn. **3)** as determined by mass spectroscopy. Using eqn. (3), the average kinetic isotope effect observed for **12** and **13** was found to be 3.9 ( $\pm$  0.8),<sup>8</sup> considerably higher than in 8 and 9. We may conclude that the sequence depicted in Scheme 1 is consistent with the results obtained. It shows that when the  $pK<sub>a</sub>$  of the protons in question is increased, the removal of the proton in **B** is not as facile and the reverse reaction (back to **A)** now becomes more significant; *i.e.*  $k_1$  can compete with  $k_2$ . The presence of methoxy groups in **8/9** and **12/13** sufficiently lowers the acidity over **4/5** such that not every complexation to **B** leads to rapid deprotonation and  $k_2$  is no longer  $\gg k_{-1}$ . As proposed earlier for  $4 \rightarrow 6$ , the rate-limiting step may be  $k_1$ , showing no kinetic isotope effect. In  $8/9 \rightarrow 10/11$  and  $12/13 \rightarrow$ **14** the rate is affected by  $k_2$  as well as by  $k_1$ , so a kinetic isotope effect, albeit diminished, is observed. The increase in isotope effect, 2.0 for **819** and 4.0 for **12/13,** may be the result of the poorer kinetic acidity in the latter and this has been discussed by Melander **.9** This metallation behaviour and subsequent alkylations continue to provide further opportunities for synthetic advances in asymmetric molecular construction.

t Experimental mass spectra were analysed using an over-determined least-squares program, developed in the group of Professor J. R. Norton.

The authors express their gratitude to Professor Jack Norton for his assistance in evaluating the kinetic data, and to the National Science Foundation for financial support (AIM, CHE-9000344; and MAR, CHE-9120454).

*Received, 6th April 1993; Corn. 3f019961* 

## **References**

- 1 For a recent review, see: T. K. Highsmith and A. **1.** Meyers, in *Advances in Heterocyclic Natural Product Synthesis,* ed. W. H. Pearson, JAI. Connecticut, 1990, vol. 1.
- 2 For a recent summary, see: *(a)* A. I. Meyers, *Tetrahedron,* 1992,48, 2589; *(b)* L. A. Castonguay, J. W. Guiles, A. K. Rappe and A. I. Meyers, J. Org. *Chem.,* 1992, 57, 3819.
- 3 A. I. Meyers and D. A. Dickman, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1987, 109, 1263. In another study, R. E. Gawley, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1987, 109, 1265, has shown that an isotope effect exists in the oxazoline system and this may be due to the difference in the nature of the lithlated chelate.
- 4 The benzylic-type lithio species has far too much ionic character to maintain configurational stability, see *e.g.* A. I. Meyers, J. Guiles, M. A. Gonzalez and J. S. Warmus, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1991, **32,**  *5505.* However, in non-benzylic or allylic lithio species (such as **C)**  there appears to be considerable configurational stability. T. Elworthy and A. I. Meyers unpublished results. See recent reports by J. M. Chong and S. B. Park, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, 57, 2220 and W. H. Pearson and A. C. Lindbeck, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1991,113, 8546.
- *5* For a comprehensive review on dipole stabilized anions, see P. Beak, W. J. Zajdel and D. B. Reitz, *Chem. Rev.,* 1984, 84,471.
- Thermodynamic chiral anions have been recently shown to be the cause of high diastereoselectivity in lithio *tert-Boc* pyrrolidines solvated by  $\left\vert -\right\rangle$ -sparteine. S. T. Kerrick and P. Beak, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1991,113,9708.
- *7 T.* M. Sielecki, A. I. Meyers, D. Crans, **R.** Marshman and T. Nguyen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, 114, 8483.
- The difference in isotope effect between the first and second alkylations is significant at the 95% confidence level and agrees with the general expectation that a decrease in  $\Delta pK_a$  between acids and bases leads to an increase in the kinetic isotope effect of proton transfer. See *e.g.* J. T. McClare and F. H. Dietrich, *Statistics,*  Dellen, San Francisco, 1985.
- 9 L. Melander and W. H. Saunders, Jr., *Reaction Rates* of *Isotopic Molecules,* Wiley, New York, 1980, ch. 5.